




Water Environment Federation
the water quality people®

Linking Member Associations with Federal Policies and Local Trends

Wednesday, June 25, 2025
1:00 – 2:30 PM ET

1

How to Participate Today



Audio Settings ^

Q&A

Show Captions ^

Leave Meeting


Submit your questions using the Questions pane.

Leave the webcast early

Audio Settings
Adjust your speaker output
(You can also optionally join via phone audio)

Enable auto-caption feature
(May not be 100% accurate)

A recording will be available for replay shortly after this webcast.



Water Environment Federation
the water quality people®

2

Today's Agenda

Today's Moderators: Julie Nahrgang & Jay Hoskins

- Introduction
- Water Week 2025 Updates
 - Tracy Ekola (MN), Lacey Hirschvogel (MO), Emily Prescott (ME)
- Exploring recent water infrastructure policy and funding concerns: National, state, and local perspectives
 - Joe Kane, *Brookings Institute*
- The Latest from DC: Federal & Regulatory Update
 - Steve Dye and Ashley Voskuhl, *WEF*
- Q&A Discussion



3



CSWEA – MN

National Water Policy Fly-In 2025

4



Plan ahead for

Water Week 2026!

April 12-18, 2026

About Water Week

Congressional Outreach Resources

US Capitol Map

VIEW

Getting to Capitol Hill

VIEW

Preparing for a Meeting

VIEW

Follow Up

VIEW

Understand Your Member of Congress

VIEW

Personalize Your Message

VIEW

5

Prep, Connect & Follow up

DC WATER FLY IN - 2025

Date	Time- EDT	Representative or Senator	Location
Tuesday April 8	Noon	McClellan	313E SA
Tuesday April 8	12:00-1:00	McClintock	1800 SA
Tuesday April 8	1:00-1:30	McClintock	1800 SA
Tuesday April 8	1:30-2:00	McClintock	1800 SA
Tuesday April 8	2:00-2:30	EPA Panel @ 215	1800 SA
Tuesday April 8	2:30-5:00	EPA Panel	1800 SA
Tuesday April 8	3:00-3:30	Murphy	1205 LOB
Tuesday April 8	3:30-4:00	Federal Agency Panel	1800 SA
Tuesday April 8	4:00-4:30	EPA Panel	1800 SA
Tuesday April 8	4:30-5:00	EPA Panel	1800 SA
Tuesday April 8	5:00-6:00	House	
Tuesday April 8	6:00-7:00	RECEPTION	DC Water Headquarters

Request Appt on Rep/Sen website and follow up with email to staff

Dear Representative

Minnesota water representatives will be in Washington, DC on April 8 and 9 as part of the Water Policy Fly-in for ANWA, WEF, and NACWA. We would like to request an appointment with you to discuss issues impacting water utilities in Minnesota. Topics include:

- Water affordability
- Cybersecurity
- Infrastructure funding

Attendees will be various water professionals representing utilities from across Minnesota. We have formally requested a visit through your website and wanted to follow up via this email to see if we could meet on Wednesday April 9th between 8 a.m. and 2 p.m. Alternatively, we could also be available the afternoon of April 8th, if that works for you.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter. Your support is greatly appreciated.

Best regards,
Congressional Address

Legislator	Location	Phone	Point of Contact	Lead Person	Contact email
Senator Tina Smith (D)	720 Hart	202-224-0641	Gail Rowland		gail.rowland@sen.mn.gov
Senator Amy Klobuchar (D)	302 Hart	202-224-3244	Shirley Hensley		shirley.hensley@sen.mn.gov
Rep. Tom Emmer (R)	315 Cannon	202-225-2331	Luke Smith	Ursula Pugh	luka.smith@rep.house.gov
Rep. Kelly Morrison	1205 Longworth	202-225-2871	Anna Giesting	Rick Vanden	anna.giesting@rep.house.gov
Rep. Pete Stauber	143 Cannon	202-225-6211	Shirley Suter	Tracy Suter	shirley.suter@rep.house.gov
Rep. Skelly McClellan (D)	2296 Rayburn	202-225-6931	Michelle Sidorowicz	San Pineda	skelly.mcclellan@rep.house.gov
Rep. Brad Finstad (R)	2418 Rayburn	202-225-2472	John Altschoff		brad.finstad@rep.house.gov
Rep. Brian Claitor (D)	1517 Longworth	202-225-4730	Maryam Saeed		brian.claitor@rep.house.gov
Rep. Angie Craig (D)	1523 Longworth	202-225-2271	Michelle Sidorowicz	Mark Enoche	angie.craig@rep.house.gov
Rep. Michelle Fischbach	2229 Rayburn	202-225-2185	Rick Vanden	Michael Hensley	michelle.fischbach@rep.house.gov

Minnesota team notes
National Water Week Fly In, April 8-9, 2025

- Rep Brad Finstad (D1)** <https://www.congress.gov/member/brad-finstad/F000475>
 - Met with John Altendorf, John.Altendorf@mail.house.gov
 - Supports State Revolving Fund, separation of CDS funding
 - Uses up to 80% of their CDS for water and wastewater projects.
 - Supports HR 2594 and recognizes the risk to critical infrastructure.
 - Supports protection from PFAS CERCLA Liability.
 - Was interested in data centers and private well support for their district.
 - Thinks tax exemption for municipal bonds is a rumor from a reconciliation presentation slide and eliminating this exemption will not go forward. Is against it.
 - Offered facility tours of Mankato, Rochester, Fairmont
 - Follow up:** Coordinate facility tours; stay in touch re: data centers/private wells
- Angie Craig (D2)** <https://www.congress.gov/member/angie-craig/CO01119>
 - Met with Rachel Hunter, Rachel.Hunter@mail.house.gov
 - Rachel has family that works for Inland Empire Utility in California.
 - Supports infrastructure funding, state revolving funds
 - Is very interested in bipartisan support for issues.
 - Supports maintaining tax exemption for municipal bonds.
 - Supports PFAS liability protection and polluter pays. Was very interested in the background of PFOA/PFAS and asked insightful questions.
 - Coordinates with City of Hastings water treatment needs for PFAS and Nitrate closely.
 - Follow up:** keep them in the loop about this, she isn't on the right committee this year.
 - Follow up:** wants to schedule a separate call on data centers.
- Rep Kelly Morrison (D3)** <https://www.congress.gov/member/kelly-morrison/M001234>
 - Met with Anna Giesting, Anna.Giesting@mail.house.gov
 - Supports maintaining DWSRF/CWSRF, WIFIA; Didn't realize CDS has tapped SRF in the past and agreed they should be kept separate
 - Discussed tax exempt muni bonds: agreed they should be maintained
 - Supports PFAS liability protection and polluter pays
 - Supports HR 2594 as described: shared specific background examples of the risk and cost

6

3

Notes for the 2026 Teams:**Process**

1. Book your flight and hotel
2. Figure out who is going from MN across all water : drinking water, wastewater, stormwater, cities, business, and urban and rural.
3. 1st prep meeting to coordinate meeting schedules and requests
4. Schedule meetings with Representatives/ Senators
5. Set up a SharePoint
6. 2nd prep meeting to coordinate on federal and Minnesota talking points
7. Confirm meeting and attendees 1-day ahead by email, attach issue papers
8. Start a text chain for day-of coordination
9. Place meetings on Outlook Calendar and share with all delegation members for day-of coordination. Include legislator's building and room # on invite to minimize having to look up address info for each meeting.
10. Identify receptions and water week evening events and post to calendar with address & time for everyone's benefit
11. Save screen-shot to phone of the office building floor plans to help guide moving from office-to-office:
12. Set up method for sharing meeting notes and publishing article to CSWEA magazine, AWWA-MN, etc.
13. Send follow up email to the people we had meetings with summarizing our talking points, attaching handouts, offering facility tours, and answering any follow up questions.



7



DEDICATED TO PROTECTING AND IMPROVING
MISSOURI'S WATER ENVIRONMENT

2025 Water Policy Fly-In

The Missouri Method

Lacey Hirschvogel
Director – Environmental Policy

8



Identify Priorities and Create Leave Behind

- Protect Missourians from PFAS Cleanup Costs
 - H.R. 1267 – PFAS Liability Protection Act
- Secure Long-Term Federal Investment in Missouri's Water Infrastructure
 - H.R. 1255 – Investing in Our Communities Act
- Support Actions to Strengthen Missouri's Water and Wastewater Infrastructure
 - Wipes
 - Cybersecurity

MPUA
Federal Policy Priorities for the 119th Congress

Missouri's hometown, local utilities deliver essential drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater services to millions of residents, protecting public health and the environment. Our primary goal is to maintain reliable, safe, and affordable services that support economic growth in Missouri's rural and metropolitan communities across the state. To support the continuance of these essential services, we urge Congress to take action on the following priorities:

Protect Missourians from PFAS Cleanup Costs
Support H.R. 1267 Water Systems PFAS Liability Protection Act
This proposed legislation holds the polluters of PFAS accountable for their actions and not local utilities. Without explicit liability protections under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), Missouri's water utilities could be unfairly burdened with billions in cleanup costs.

Invest in Source Control & Remediation
Congress must prioritize upstream PFAS mitigation strategies and provide direct funding to utilities managing contamination. The Bipartisan Infrastructure Law provided a billion in grant funding over five years to address PFAS contamination in drinking water and wastewater discharges. This funding supported initial testing and sampling of PFAS for communities throughout Missouri. Continued PFAS funding is essential to protect public health by ensuring that all communities have access to clean, safe water.

Secure Long-Term Federal Investment in Missouri's Water Infrastructure
To support Missouri's aging water infrastructure, Congress must extend and robustly fund essential water infrastructure programs to keep water safe and affordable.

Support H.R. 1255 Investing in Our Communities Act
This proposed legislation reinstates a critical financing tool for publicly owned utilities, advance refunding bonds, allowing them to refinance debt at lower interest rates, ultimately reducing costs for Missourians. By restoring this financial tool, the bill helps municipally owned utilities invest in critical infrastructure improvements, such as aging pipe replacements, upgrading wastewater treatment technology, and optimizing drinking water processes for treatment.

Water State Revolving Funds (SRF)
Capital grants appropriated to the State of Missouri. These critical financing tools allow public utilities at interest rates programs in critical funding source that allows Missouri's local utilities to maintain affordable water services.

By 2026
By 2026, the program is set to expire in 2026. These programs include grant funding and repayment and advancements to repair or sustain federal support, Missouri will engage to address the communities that lack the financial resources to complete.

Strengthen Missouri's Water and Wastewater Infrastructure
Water infrastructure to ensure public health and environmental protection. Congress has increasingly engaged on issues of integrity of critical water and sewer infrastructure.

Item Failures
Water quality standards to prevent widespread damage to treatment facilities. Misleading "reliable" labels contribute to backlogs, wastewater treatment plant failures, and sewer public health and the environment. These mislabeled products often already strained local utilities, increasing maintenance costs caused by staffing shortages and aging infrastructure.

Resilience in America's Water Systems
Resilience in America's water systems is essential to prevent future crises. While climate change is a growing threat across the United States, resilience in water infrastructure is essential to enhance resilience. A risk-based regulatory approach is essential to enhance resilience. A risk-based regulatory approach is essential to enhance resilience. A risk-based regulatory approach is essential to enhance resilience.

9



Know your Congressional Delegation



CHECK INTO MEMBER'S BACKGROUND



COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS



VOTING RECORD



PUBLIC STATEMENTS

Invite members from the confirmed visit districts to attend meetings

10



Set up meetings – 3 Weeks Prior to Visit

Subject: Meeting Request Missouri Municipal Utilities – April 8 or April 9, Water Industry Legislative Trip

Good Morning,

My name is Lacey Hirschvogel. I am the Manager of Regulatory Advocacy for Missouri's municipal utilities with the Missouri Public Utility Alliance (MPUA) and the Governmental Affairs - Chair for the Missouri Water Environment Association (MWEA), also representing Missouri's publicly owned wastewater utilities.

We will be in Washington, D.C., for the Water Fly-in hosted by the American Water Works Association (AWWA) and the National Association of Clean Water Agencies (NACWA). I am arranging meetings with the Missouri delegation for our members. If his schedule permits, we would be honored to meet with Senator Schmitt or his staff on **the morning of April 8th or 9th** for a brief 30-minute discussion.

Our conversation would focus on our legislative priorities and the current issues affecting Missouri's water and wastewater utility industry including H.R. 1267 – Water Systems PFAS Liability Protection Act. We expect a delegation of 4 representatives from across Missouri, including STL, Fulton, St. Joseph, and Columbia.

With a group of this size, we are hoping to meet in the office but please let us know if there is a location that is more convenient for you.

Thank you very much for your consideration. I appreciate your time and look forward to hearing from you.

[Find Your Members in the U.S. Congress | Congress.gov | Library of Congress](#)

11



Night Before Visit

- Confirm visit and meeting location
- Create a small leave behind packet
 - Priorities
 - Letters of Support (proposed legislation)
 - Business cards
- Practice your intro and “ask”
- Business attire preferred (nice/walking shoes)

<https://www.uscp.gov/visiting-capitol-hill>



12



During Meetings

- Introduce yourself
- Provide the material
- Be brief
- Allow them to talk – Listen!



13



What we learned

- Congressman Smith – Tax exempt municipal bonds
- Congressman Graves, Congressman Burlison & Senator Schmitt – PFAS liability exemption
- Congressman Graves – FY 2026 SRF Cap Grants (following up)
- Congressman Cleaver – Listened to our needs

14



Emily Prescott

Saco, Maine



15



BROOKINGS

Exploring recent water infrastructure policy and funding concerns: National, state, and local perspectives


Joseph W. Kane

June 25, 2025

16



17



18


Utilities already face a funding gap of about \$110 billion that could grow to about \$195 billion by 2030.



Source: McKinsey, "Water resilience: Closing the funding gap for utilities"

19

Federal, state, and local spending on water infrastructure
1956 to 2017




Source: Brookings analysis of Congressional Budget Office (CBO) data




Note: Water infrastructure spending includes spending on water utilities and water resources, per CBO definitions.

20



21




22


CONSTRUCTION DIVE Deep Dive Opinion Library Events Press Releases Topics ▾

America's aging water infrastructure faces new threats

The U.S. urgently needs to increase funding to shore up facilities, experts say, as climate change and emerging contaminants like PFAS pose growing threats.

Published April 1, 2025

 **Julie Strupp**
Senior Editor



Workers with East Bay Municipal Utility District install new water pipe on April 22, 2021 in Walnut Creek, California. Justin Sullivan via Getty Images


FINANCIAL TIMES

COMPANIES TECH MARKETS CLIMATE OPINION LEX WORK & CAREERS LIFE & ARTS HTSI

Renewable energy [+ Add to myFT](#)

Donald Trump halts more than \$500bn in US green infrastructure funding

New president's order to pause federal disbursements cuts critical loans and grants to developers




President Donald Trump's move has sent a shockwave through the clean energy sector © Jim Lo Scalzo/Pool/EPA-EFE/Shutterstock

Amanda Chu and Jamie Smyth in New York


Published JAN 22 2025 1004

23


Categories of questions to analyze State Revolving Fund (SRF) programs across the country

 **PLANNING**

- Aim to better understand the Intended Use Plans (IUPs), which guide state-level water investment decisions
- Explore different goals and priorities within the IUPs, including those focused on climate and equity


 **PROJECT SELECTION**

- Aim to better understand the types of projects prioritized in the IUPs
- Specifically analyze project priority lists, which identify individual needed investments
- Examine the ways in which the state evaluates different criteria (e.g. climate, equity) for these projects


 **CAPACITY**

- Aim to better understand the financial, technical, and programmatic capacity to execute water investments
- Analyze whether state agencies emphasize staffing needs, technical assistance, and outreach for local SRF applicants
- Consider other financial resources to complement SRF funding

Source: Brookings analysis of State Revolving Fund (SRF) programs



24




25


The major takeaways

- **Planning:** While many states spell out clear goals and identify a range of specific, needed projects as part of their annual water infrastructure planning efforts, they do not always prioritize clear timelines or other accountability measures.
- **Project selection:** Many states do not cover the finer details when prioritizing and evaluating different water projects, including specific criteria to compare projects.
- **Capacity (a potential culprit):** Constraints around fiscal, technical, and programmatic capacity are widespread and limit the ability of states and local utilities to proactively address needed water investments.


26



27









28



29

So where do we go from here?

Recommendations to build greater capacity—especially for local utilities—around SRF planning and investment

Federal	State	Local
 <p>Forge stronger collaborations with states and local utilities</p>	 <p>Specify clearer timelines, targets, and criteria</p>	 <p>Test many different financing tools to proactively address their investment needs.</p>
 <p>Expand technical assistance with states and local utilities.</p>	 <p>Center capacity needs as part of SRF planning and project selection.</p>	 <p>Test more collaborative, cross-sectoral approaches to address their capacity constraints.</p>

Source: Brookings analysis of SRF programs


B | Brookings Metro

30

Importance of state and local leadership

State and local share of total US public infrastructure spending

1970s to 2023



Source: Brookings analysis of Congressional Budget Office data




31

Importance of state and local leadership

Stormwater utilities are concentrated in only a few regions nationally

Number of Stormwater Utilities, By County and State, 2016

Number of Stormwater Utilities by County: 1-2, 3-5, 6-10, 11-17, 18-33
Number of Stormwater Utilities by State: 0-40, 41-80, 81-120, 121-160, 161-197



Source: Brookings analysis of WKU stormwater utility survey data.

32

Importance of state and local leadership

Resilient project delivery approach for transportation and water infrastructure: A connected cycle that addresses climate needs



Source: Brookings literature review and interview findings.



33

BROOKINGS
U.S. POLICY PROGRAM

Investing in water: Comparing utility finances and economic concerns across U.S. cities
Joseph Kane | November 1, 2017

SUMMARY
This report examines the current context for local water infrastructure investment in the United States, with a particular focus on large drinking water utilities. As concerns continue to mount from customers in their local and regional cities over the burdens of many investment challenges, are they often not too a clear sense of where they should invest to better manage. By examining how cities vary across three measures of utility financial performance—long-term debt, rate-of-return, and water infrastructure investment—this report offers insights into the challenges of water infrastructure investment, and the role of local-level leadership in local efforts to gain a more complete picture of regional water investment.

INTRODUCTION
In recent months, the country's water infrastructure challenges have gained greater national visibility thanks to a number of high-profile speeches along the campaign trail, legislative efforts in Washington, and calls for increased investments from the incoming Trump administration. However, much of the hard work continues to rest on the shoulders of individual cities and states, where more than 95 percent of public spending on operations and capital improvements takes place annually.

Water utilities are under enormous pressure to balance a host of physical and financial responsibilities, especially when it comes to providing affordable, clean, and reliable

Author
Joseph Kane
Senior Lecturer and Director
Metropolitan Policy Program

RENEWING THE WATER WORKFORCE
Improving water infrastructure and creating a pipeline to opportunity

JOSEPH KANE AND ADIE TOMER, JUNE 2018

B Metropolitan Policy Program
at Brookings

Less Water, More Risk
Exploring national and local water use patterns in the U.S.

Joseph Kane
October 2017

B Metropolitan Policy Program
at Brookings

34



BROOKINGS

Exploring recent water infrastructure policy and funding concerns: National, state, and local perspectives

Joseph W. Kane

June 25, 2025

35



 Water Environment Federation
the water quality people®

**The Latest
from DC**

**Steve Dye
Ashley Voskuhl**

Government Affairs Department

sdye@wef.org

avoskuhl@wef.org

36

Admin. Zeldin's Priorities

Five Pillars

1. Clean Air, Land, and Water for Every American
2. Restore American Energy Dominance
3. Permitting Reform, Cooperative Federalism, and Cross-Agency Partnership
4. Make the United States the Artificial Intelligence Capital of the World
5. Protecting and Bringing Back American Auto Jobs

Water Priorities

- Rewriting WOTUS w/ USACE
- Regulatory Streamlining E.O.: 1:10 and Zero Cost
- Updated PFAS Action Plan
- Reviewing court cases on PFAS CERCLA Liability and PFAS Drinking Water Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL)



37

Zeldin's Updated PFAS Action Plan

Creation of Effluent Limitation Guidelines for certain PFAS

Establish a clear liability framework

Designate a cross-program agency lead

Annual updates to PFAS Destruction and Disposal Guidance

Ramp up development of PFAS testing strategies

Use SDWA authorities to address immediate contamination

Coordinate with states to address risks

Determine a path forward for biosolids risk assessment based on comments



38

Pending PFAS Regulatory Actions

Draft National Recommended Ambient Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Human Health for PFOA, PFOS, and PFBS

NPDES 2026 Issuance of the Multi-Sector General Permit for Stormwater Associated with Industrial Activity

Proposed Clean Water Act Methods Update Rule 22 for Analysis of Contaminants in Effluent

PFAS Data Reporting Under the Toxic Substance Control Act

Draft Sewage Sludge Risk Assessment for PFOA and PFOS



39

US Tariff Policy Update

Policy Direction

- Ongoing uncertainty
- US planning to issue new unilateral tariff rates by July 9, with possible extensions

Implications for Water Sector

- Steel and aluminum tariffs – major cost factor
- US/Mexico/Canada interdependence on component parts and steel
- Heavy US reliance on China for component parts, critical minerals, and steel
- Price increases and longer lead times



40

Mexico

- Nearing deal to lift 50% steel tariffs, in exchange for capping steel imports

Canada

- 50% steel & aluminum tariff a major concern
- Progress toward broad trade and security pact

European Union

- Risk of 50% tariffs on 70% of EU exports if no deal by 7/9
- EU preparing €116B in retaliatory tariffs

China

- New trade truce pending final approval
- Expedited rare earth exports to US

41

Questions for WEF Members

- What barriers or opportunities are there to onshoring/reshoring of manufacturing of equipment and other products for the water sector?
- Have you experienced export license issues (delays/denials/chokepoints/etc.) affecting your ability to source critical minerals and rare earth elements?
- How have tariffs and ongoing negotiations affected your business (positive or negative)?
- Share your thoughts with Ashley at avoskuhl@wef.org

42

Budget Reconciliation

Senate

- 53-47 Republican Majority
- Cost TBD
- Muni Bonds and IIJA look safe, but...
- IRA likely cut.
- TBD for Medicaid and SNAP
- 2017 Tax Cuts extended

House

- 215-214 vote
- Costs ~\$2.8 trillion
- 2017 Tax Cuts extended
- No Muni Bonds or IIJA Cuts
- Cuts to IRA, Medicaid, and SNAP
- Increased USDA Conservation Funding



Reconciliation



FY26 Budget

FY2025

- EPA FY25 \$9.1 billion
 - Clean Water SRF \$1.6 billion
 - Drinking Water SRF \$1.1 billion



June 6, 2025

The Honorable Mike Simpson
Chairman
Subcommittee on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Lisa Murkowski
Chair
Subcommittee on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Chelcie Piogre
Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Jeff Merkley
Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies
Committee on Appropriations
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Simpson, Chair Murkowski, Ranking Member Piogre, and Ranking Member Merkley,

As you begin drafting the Fiscal Year (FY) 2026 Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations bill, we respectfully urge you to fully fund critical water infrastructure programs and to maintain these investments that strengthen public health, support economic growth, and ensure that water remains accessible and affordable.

Our nation's drinking water and wastewater infrastructure programs are essential to the health and wellbeing of every American and the basic functioning of our society. Every individual has a need for access to clean drinking water, sanitary wastewater, and stormwater services. Water systems' mission is to provide these services, ensuring that the water delivered to households across the country is safe, clean, and affordable.

As Congress works to develop FY26 spending levels for EPA, we urge you to fully fund core water infrastructure programs like the Drinking Water and Clean Water State Revolving Funds (SRF) and the Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA), as well as several targeted water infrastructure grant programs that Congress has authorized in recent years.

President Trump's "Skinny Budget"

- Budget released in May for FY2026
- EPA FY26 \$4.2 billion (-55%)
 - Clean Water SRF \$155 MILLION!
 - Drinking Water SRF \$150 MILLION
- NOAA -\$1.3 billion
- LIHEAP -\$4 billion



Become a water advocate!

And tell your members, colleagues, friends, parents, neighbors too.



Current Campaigns:

- FY26 Budget
- Benefits of Biosolids
- Water System PFAS Liability Protection Act
- Wastewater Infrastructure Pollution Prevention and Environmental Safety Act (WIPPES)
- Industrial Water Reuse Tax Credit



45



National Water Policy Fly-In 2026

April 14-15, 2026



Join hundreds of water professionals from around the nation in Washington, DC, to advocate before Congress and the Administration on the issues that are important to the water sector.

Co-hosted by WEF, NACWA, WaterReuse Association, the Water Research Foundation, AWWA, and AMWA!



46



47



48